

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,724	12/05/2003	Chih-Ching Hsien	PUSA031122	3964
7590 09/09/2004 CHIH-CHING HSIEN 58, MA YUAN WEST ST.			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, DAVID B	
TAICHUNG,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004	Į

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The second secon	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/730,724	HSIEN, CHIH-CHING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David B. Thomas	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tte, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	December 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/730,724

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art, in view of Azkona (6,467,380).

The applicant admits to pliers having handles which are pivotally connected to the jaws of the pliers (see Figs. 7 and 8). The pliers of the prior art read over the claims except for the provision of the mounting tube, push rod, and elastic member mounted between the handles of the pliers. Azkona ('380) discloses a pair of pliers and teaches the provision of a mounting tube, a push rod, and an elastic member mounted between the handles, the purpose of which is to normally bias the handles apart. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the pliers of the applicant's admitted prior art by providing a biasing element between the handles of the pliers, i.e. a mounting tube, a push rod, and an elastic member, such as that taught by Azkona ('380), such that the handles of the pliers are normally biased apart.

3. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art in view of Azkona ('380), as applied to claims 1 and 4-6 above, and further in view of Balley et al. (6,014,917).

Application/Control Number: 10/730,724

Art Unit: 3723

The applicant's prior art as modified by Azkona ('380) discloses the claimed invention except for the arcuate shape for the biasing member between the handles. Balley et al. ('917) discloses a pair of pliers and teaches that the biasing member between the handles has an arcuate shape. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the biasing member of the pliers of the applicant's admitted prior art, as modified by Azkona ('380), by altering the shape of the biasing member such that the biasing member has an arcuate shape, such as the arcuate biasing member of Balley et al. ('917), since the handles of a pair of pliers move through an arc when closed or opened, and as such, an arcuate shape for the biasing means would be desirable vs. a linear biasing means.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Balley et al., Bates et al., and Gomas et al. each disclose pliers having a biasing member between the handles of the pliers. Hsieh discloses a pair of pliers having a pivotal connection between the handles of the pliers and the jaws of the pliers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Thomas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4250. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number

Application/Control Number: 10/730,724

Art Unit: 3723

1// 00/11/01 140/11/00: 10/100;12

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David B. Thomas Patent Examiner Art Unit 3723

BS dbt